

LANDTECH RESOURCES, INC.

Community Impact Study

For

SUP-19-0020 Forest Heights Master Plan, Proffer Amendment, and Rezoning

James City County, Virginia

Preparation Date: September 25, 2019

Revised Date:

October 24, 2019

LRI Project No. 17-268

ENGINEERING AND SURVEYING CONSULTANTS 3925 Midlands Road Williamsburg, VA 23188 Ph.: (757) 565-1677 Fax: (757) 565-0782 Web: landtechresources.com

Table of Contents

i)	Pro	oject Narrative and Description1,2				
ii)	An	alysis of Existing Public Facilities and Services2,3				
	a)	Public School System				
	b)	Public Water				
c) Public Sewer						
d) Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services (EMS)						
	e)	Electricity				
	f)	Solid Waste				
	g)	Parks and Recreation Guidelines				
	h)	Open Space				
iii)	An	alysis of Stormwater Management4				
iv)	En	vironmental Constraints Analysis4-6				
v)	Tr	affic Impact Analysis (Provided by DRW Consultants, LLC)6				

Appendices

- **Appendix A Water Demand Calculations**
- Appendix B Wastewater Generation Calculations
- Appendix C VRRM Spread Sheet
- **Appendix D Traffic Impact Study**
- Appendix E- Traffic Study From Original Master Plan Submission

Project Overview and Existing Conditions

Project Site Information

Project/Site Name: Forest Heights Master Plan, Proffer Amendment. And Rezoning

Project Street/Location: 6015 Richmond Road

City/County:WilliamsburgState:VirginiaZIP Code:23188

Municipality: James City County

Map #: 32220100081

Private / Public / Federal / State: Private

Residential / Commercial / Industrial / Other (specify): Residential/Commercial

County (or City) Site Plan Number (if applicable): Z-19-0012 / Z-0001-2011 / SUP-19-0020

Total Site Area: 47.1 Acres

i) **<u>Project Narrative and Description</u>**

In 2011 the Forest Heights Master plan was approved by the James City County Board of supervisors. The project consisted of rezoning 47.1 Acres to Mixed Use (MU) as well as the realignment and new construction of Forest Heights Road, Benefit Lane, and Neighbors Drive. To the north west of Forest Heights Road the previously submitted Traffic Study in the Community Impact Study dated July 14, 2011 and received by the county in August of 2011 proposed the development of a Salvation Army, 12 Single Family Detached Homes, 24 Townhome Units and 26 Apartments. Select pages from the previously submitted Community Impact Study have been provided in Appendix E. Those improvements were never developed triggering this master plan and proffer amendment for any new development on the 11.4 Ac. lot owned by the Salvation Army.

The master plan and proffer amendment focuses only on the proposed development at 6015 Richmond Road which consists of 11.4 Ac. out of the entire 47.1 Ac in the original master plan. The proposed development consists of the construction of a new road to connect to both the front and end of Forest Heights Road, 12 Multi-Family buildings consisting of 46 units, and a 50-unit Senior Independent Living Facility. The multi-family units will have three parking lots available for additional parking above the driveway and garage parking. All 46 multi-family units will meet the requirements for the James City County Housing Opportunities Policy. At least of four (4) dwelling units will be offered to households earning 30%-60% of Area Mean Income. At least of four (4) dwelling units will be offered to households earning 60%-80% of Area Mean Income. All remaining dwelling units will be offered to households earning 30%-120% of Area Mean Income. The 50 units within the Senior Independent Living Facility will be proffered as affordable housing and will be targeted at the income range of 30%-60% of Area Median Income.

ii) Analysis of Existing Public Facilities and Services

a) Using the James City County Fiscal Impact Analysis Worksheet it is expected that the proposed development would generate 7.82 students. The estimate was determined by only using the multifamily line item as the senior living apartments will be proffered as agerestricted, thus not generating any schoolchildren. In the draft copy of the Williamsburg James City County School Board 2020 capital improvement project budget there are multiple school expansions proposed. The budget proposes the construction of a new elementary school along with the expansion of the three existing high schools. In the fall of 2018 WJCC opened a new middle school to help with the growing James City County community. The already in place improvements as well as the proposed will alleviate any burden of new students created by this development.

School	School Children*
Norge Elementary	+/- 3
Hornsby Middle School	+/- 2
Warhill High School	+/- 3

Total: 8	
----------	--

- Numbers are rounded up
- b) The proposed development will be served by an existing James City Service Authority 12" water main located along Richmond Road and be connected to an existing JCSA 8" waterline stubbed out at the end of Forest Heights Road. The demand from the new development will generate an additional 29,760 gallons per day. This equates to 20.67 gpm average demand, 35.13 gpm max. day demand, and 82.67 gpm peak hour demand. Water demand calculations have been provided in Appendix A.
- c) Wastewater generated by the proposed development will be tied into an existing 12" sewer lateral and flow to an existing JCSA Lift Station (6-2). From the lift station the waste water is pumped through an existing 8" force main to an existing 24" HRSD force main located in the Richmond Road median. An additional 29,760 gallons per day of wastewater will be generated and flow into JCSA Lift Station 6-2. The peak flow from the improvements will be 71.35 GPM. Through an initial meeting with JCSA it was determined that Lift Station 6-2 will have the adequate capacity to service the additional flow. Wastewater generation calculations have been provided in Appendix B.
- d) The project site is in a very central location within James City County that allows for multiple fire stations to be in proximity as well as Sentara Williamsburg Regional Medical Center. James City County currently has 5 fire stations that cover both emergency medical services and fire protection. JCC station #4 is located the closest on Olde Towne Road and is approximately 2.1 mi. from the development. The county also has a mutual aid agreement with York County and the City of Williamsburg. With station #4 having a response time of 4 minutes and three other stations within a 10-minute response time there is adequate county EMS protection for the development.
- e) Dominion Power provides electrical service for this area of James City County. All new utilities will be placed underground per JCC requirements.
- f) Solid waste pickup will be provided by private contracts by each individual home. The senior living facility will have a separate contract for solid waste pickup. Solid waste haulers will work to ensure waste is picked up and disposed of in accordance with local health standards.
- g) Per the James City County Recreational Facility Development Guidelines the entire master planned area of 47.1 Acres was recommended to have the following amenities:
 - 1 Sport Court or Pool
 - 1 Field
 - 1 pocket park at a minimum of 0.3 Acres.
 - 1 Playground
 - 8' wide trail that is a minimum of 0.4 miles long.

Based on the available 11.4 Acre area of this proposed Master Plan Amendment the following items have been provided. One pocket park to include a 2,500 S.F. playground, and 0.14 miles of an 8' wide multi use path. There is also additional common area that will remain open to allow for gathering areas. In lieu of the construction of a Sport Court or Pool and Field, due to the size of the site, cash proffers have been offered.

h) Per section 24-273.9 of the James City County Zoning Ordinance there will be 1 Ac. of open space provided on the 11.4 Ac. parcel. The requirement will be met through a pocket park at 0.3 Ac., Open Space and Common area totaling 0.55 Ac., 50' Perimeter Buffer totaling 0.45 Acres and the 8' wide multiuse path at 0.08 Ac.. These areas will be spread throughout the development to allow for space between the different residential area.

iii) Analysis of Stormwater Management

Stormwater for the site will be treated with three onsite stormwater management facilities. The square footage for these facilities was determined by VRRM compliance spreadsheet and provided in Appendix C. Final stormwater layout and design will be provided with submittal of site plan documents.

iv) Environmental Constraints Analysis

(1) Hydraulic Features:

- (a) Location of all bodies of water such as streams, ponds, lakes, impoundments, rivers:
 - The centerline of the existing stream is shown on the master plan.
- (b) Name of watershed in which the project is located:
 - The project is located in the Powhatan Creek and Lower James River watersheds
- (c) Approximate location of tidal and non-tidal wetlands (e.g. sinkholes, wetland, springs, seeps, etc);
 - Approximate edge of wetlands are shown on the master plan
- (d) Approximate location of perennial and intermittent streams;
 - Perennial and intermittent streams exist along the northern, western, and southern boundaries of the property per AES community impact study completed July 14, 2011
- (e) Description of receiving steams:
 - The site will flow into a flat bottom at the western part of the site. This channel flows into the Longhill Swamp and ultimately the Powhatan Creek.
- (f) Floodplain:
 - The floodplain has been shown on the master plan per FEMA community panel #51095C0128D 12/16/2015

(2) Physical Features

- (a) Approximate location of steep slopes greater than 25 percent:
 - 0.2 Ac. of steep slopes exist on site.
- (b) Soil types:
 - The different soil types located on the site are shown on sheet 2 of the master plan.
- (c) Soils erodibility based on the County Soils survey:

- A table is provided on sheet 2 of the master plan and includes the soils erodibility factor
- (d) Area of forest, woodland cover and wildlife corridors:
 - The entire 11.4 Ac. site is wooded.
- (e) Pre-development topography based on County GIS
 - County contours are provided on sheet 2 of the master plan for 6015 Richmond Road

(3) Prohibited or Restricted Development Areas:

- (a) Location of required buffers and existing conservation easements:
 - 100' and 50' buffers as well as existing natural open space easements are show on the master plan
- (b) Sites with known populations of rare, threatened or endangered species of plants or animals per studies done in accordance with the Natural Resource Policy
 - Per the Community Impact Study completed by AES consulting Engineers on July 14, 2011 there is not a concern of the development impacting any rare, threatened or endangered species.
- (c) Location of trees to be preserved in accordance with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance
 - No clearing will be done in the RPA besides what is required to outfall stormwater at the toe of slope as well as tie into the existing 12" JCSA gravity sewer line.
- (d) Preliminary location of Resources Protection Areas and legal wetlands:
 RPA as well as the edge of wetlands is shown on the proposed master plan.

(4) Existing and Proposed Changes to the Site:

- (a) The nature of existing and approved but not yet built development on the site:
 - The site was previously approved for a Salvation Army, 12 Single Family homes, 24 Townhome Units and 26 Apartments. The site remains wooded and undisturbed as none of those improvements or their infrastructure was installed.
- (b) Location of Surrounding properties and neighborhoods:
 - The property is surrounded by Richmond Rd. to the north east, single family lots to the south and north, as well as Scotts Pond and Villages at Westminster Homeowners common area to the south and west.
- (c) Proposed limit of disturbance and a disturbance area estimate:
 - The proposed limits of disturbance for the 11.4 Ac. parcel will be roughly 9.9 Ac.
- (d) Calculation of existing and proposed pervious and impervious areas
 - The existing lot is wooded which roughly 1.5 Ac. will remain wooded, 5.1 Ac. will be managed turf, and 4.39 Ac. will be impervious cover.
- (e) If used, description of Better Site Design or Low Impact Development techniques (e.g. pervious pavement, walks, infiltration areas, etc.):

- The proposed stormwater management facilities are bioretention ponds that will infiltrate stormwater and treat the pollutant loads.
- (f) Description of how disturbance is being minimized, indigenous vegetation is being preserved, and impervious cover is being reduced:
 - Impervious cover was reduced to the minimum amount to allow for development as well as connectivity within the development. Open areas and landscape areas will be utilized to divide the different proposed improvements.

v) Traffic Impact Analysis (Provided by DRW Consultants, LLC)

Attached in Appendix D is the traffic impact study completed by DRW Consultants, LLC. The study shows that the original traffic impacts from this section of the Master Plan, and what is proposed in this Master Plan Amendment are equal or less. Both AM peak hour and daily trips are below what was previously planned, and PM peak hour trips remain the same. The previous traffic study required a right turn taper and no improvements to the median in Route 60. Though there was no requirement for improvement in the median, the work was still completed. With this amendment not increasing any trips to the site as well as the additional work being completed in the median, no additional traffic improvements are proposed.

Appendix A

Water Demand Calculations



Forest Heights Master Plan Amendement James City County, Virginia Water Demand LRI Job #17-268 9/25/2019

Existing Water Generation

							Max Day	Peak Hr	
						Avg.	(pf=1.7)	(pf=4.0)	
					Avg. Daily	Demand	Demand	Demand	
Improvement	Use	Flow Rate	Flow Duration (hrs)	#Units	Flow (gpd)	(gpm)	(gpm)	(gpm)	
Ex. Single Family	Residential	310 (GPD/Unit)	24	61 Lots	18,910	13.13	22.32	52.52	
	Total Daily Demand = 18,910						nd = 18,910	GPD	
					Ave	erage Deman	d = 13.13 G	PM	
Maximum Day Demand = 22.32 (
	Peak Hour Demand = 52.52 GPI								

Proposed Water Generation

							Max Day	Peak Hr
						Avg.	(pf=1.7)	(pf=4.0)
					Avg. Daily	Demand	Demand	Demand
Improvement	Use	Flow Rate	Flow Duration (hrs)	#Units	Flow (gpd)	(gpm)	(gpm)	(gpm)
Multi-Family	Residential	310 (GPD/Unit)	24	46	14,260	9.90	16.83	39.61
Senior Living Facility	Apartments	310 (GPD/Unit)	24	50	15,500	10.76	18.30	43.06
Ex. Single Family	Residential	310 (GPD/Unit)	24	61 Lots	18,910	13.13	22.32	52.52
					T . I .		40.070	CDD

Total Dally Demand = 48,670 GPD	
Average Demand = 33.80 GPM	

Maximum Day Demand = 57.45 GPM

Peak Hour Demand = 135.19 GPM

Addotional Demands Created by Project

Daily 29,760 GPD

Average 20.67 GPM

Max Day 35.13 GPM

Peak Hr. 82.67 GPM

<u>Appendix B</u>

Wastewater Generation Calculations



Forest Heights Master Plan Amendement James City County, Virginia Wastewater Generation LRI Job #17-268 9/25/2019

Existing Wastewater Generation

Improvement	Use	Flow Rate	Flow Duration (hrs)	#Units	Avg. Daily Flow (gpd)	Avg. Flow (gpm)	Peak Factor	Peak Flow
Ex. Single Family	Residential	310 (GPD/Unit)	24	61 Lots	18,910	13.13	2.5	32.83
					Total D	aily Flow = 1	.8,910 GPD	

Total Peak Flow = 34.43 GPM

Total Avg. Daily Flow (ADF) = 13.13 GPM

Minimum Flow (ADF / 2)= 6.57 GPM

Proposed Wastewater Generation

					Avg. Daily Flow	Avg. Flow	Peak	Peak Flow
Improvement	Use	Flow Rate	Flow Duration (hrs)	#Units	(gpd)	(gpm)	Factor	(gpm)
Multi-Family	Residential	310 (GPD/Unit)	24	46	14,260	9.9	2.5	24.75
Senior Living Facility	Apartments	310 (GPD/Unit)	24	50	15,500	10.76	2.5	26.91
Ex. Single Family	Residential	310 (GPD/Unit)	24	61 Lots	18,910	13.13	2.5	32.83

Total Daily Flow = 48,670 GPD	
Total Avg. Daily Flow (ADF) = 33.79 GPM	
Total Peak Flow = 84.48 GPM	
Minimum Flow (ADF / 2)= 42.24 GPM	

Addotional Flows Created by Project

Daily Flow - 29,760 GPD Peak Flow - 71.35 GPM

Appendix C

VRRM Spreadsheets

Drainage Area A

Drainage Area A Land Cover (acres) D Soils Land Cover Rv A Soils **B** Soils C Soils Totals Forest/Open Space (acres) 0.00 0.00 Managed Turf (acres) 5.18 0.22 2.37 1.05 1.76 Impervious Cover (acres) 4.39 0.95 2.10 0.88 1.41 Total 9.57

CLEAR BMP AREAS

Total Phosphorus Available for Removal in D.A. A (lb/yr)	12.12
Post Development Treatment Volume in D.A. A (ft ³)	19,295

Stormwater Best Management Practices (RR = Runoff Reduction)

Stormwater Best Management Practices (RR = Runoff Reduction)											Select from dropdown lists-		
Practice	Runoff Reduction Credit (%)	Managed Turf Credit Area (acres)	Impervious Cover Credit Area (acres)	Volume from Upstream Practice (ft ³)	Runoff Reduction (ft ³)	Remaining Runoff Volume (ft ³)	Total BMP Treatment Volume (ft ³)	Phosphorus Removal Efficiency (%)	Phosphorus Load from Upstream Practices (lb)	Untreated Phosphorus Load to Practice (lb)	Phosphorus Removed By Practice (lb)	Remaining Phosphorus Load (Ib)	Downstream Practice to be Employed
1. Vegetated Roof (RR)	1. Vegetated Roof (RR)												
1.a. Vegetated Roof #1 (Spec #5)	45				0	0	0	0		0.00	0.00	0.00	
1.b. Vegetated Roof #2 (Spec #5)	60				0	0	0	0		0.00	0.00	0.00	

2. Rooftop Disconnection (RR)												
2.a. Simple Disconnection to A/B Soils (Spec #1)	50		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2.b. Simple Disconnection to C/D Soils (Spec #1)	25		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
 2.c. To Soil Amended Filter Path as per specifications (existing C/D soils) (Spec #4) 	50		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2.d. To Dry Well or French Drain #1, Micro-Infilration #1 (Spec #8)	50		0	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2.e. To Dry Well or French Drain #2, Micro-Infiltration #2 (Spec #8)	90		0	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2.f. To Rain Garden #1, Micro-Bioretention #1 (Spec #9)	40		0	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2.g. To Rain Garden #2, Micro-Bioretention #2 (Spec #9)	80		0	0	0	0	50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2.h. To Rainwater Harvesting (Spec #6)	0		0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2.i. To Stormwater Planter, Urban Bioretention (Spec #9, Appendix A)	40		0	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

3. Permeable Pavement (RR)												
3.a. Permeable Pavement #1 (Spec #7)	45		0	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3.b. Permeable Pavement #2 (Spec #7)	75			0	0	0	25		0.00	0.00	0.00	

4. Grass Channel (RR)												
4.a. Grass Channel A/B Soils (Spec #3)	20		0	0	0	0	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4.b. Grass Channel C/D Soils (Spec #3)	10		0	0	0	0	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4.c. Grass Channel with Compost Amended Soils as per specs (see Spec #4)	30		0	0	0	0	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

5. Dry Swale (RR)												
5.a. Dry Swale #1 (Spec #10)	40		0	0	0	0	20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5.b. Dry Swale #2 (Spec #10)	60		0	0	0	0	40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		·				·						
6. Bioretention (RR)												
6.a. Bioretention #1 or Micro-Bioretention #1 or Urban Bioretention (Spec #9)	40		0	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Nitrogen Removal Efficiency (%)	Nitrogen Load from Upstream Practices (Ibs)	Untreated Nitrogen Load to Practice (lbs)	Nitrogen Removed By Practice (lbs)	Remaining Nitrogen Load (Ibs)
1. Vegetated R	loof (RR)			
0		0.00	0.00	0.00
0		0.00	0.00	0.00

0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3. Permeable I	Pavement (RR)			
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
25		0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Grass Chann	iel (RR)			
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

6. Bioretentior	1 (RR)			
40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2 of 3

(Spec #2 & #4)

Rough Calcs D.A. A

6.b. Bioretention #2 or Micro-Bioretention #2 (Spec #9)	80	5.18	4.39	0	15,436	3,859	19,295	50	0.00	12.11	10.90	1.21	
	•	•	•							•	•		
7. Infiltration (RR)													
7.a. Infiltration #1 (Spec #8)	50			0	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7.b. Infiltration #2 (Spec #8)	90			0	0	0	0	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
8. Extended Detention Pond (RR)													
8.a. ED #1 (Spec #15)	0			0	0	0	0	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
8.b. ED #2 (Spec #15)	15			0	0	0	0	15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
			•		•			•	•				
9. Sheetflow to Filter/Open Space (RR)													
9.a. Sheetflow to Conservation Area, A/B Soils (Spec #2)	75			0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
9.b. Sheetflow to Conservation Area, C/D Soils (Spec #2)	50			0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
9.c. Sheetflow to Vegetated Filter Strip, A Soils or Compost Amended B/C/D Soils	50			0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

000 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 000 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 000 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00				9. Sheetflow to Filter/Open Space (RR)						
00 0.00 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	00	0.00		0	0.00	0.00	0.0			

60

15

15

10

10

7. Infiltration (RR)

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

8. Extended Detention Pond (RR)

86.63

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

79.70

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

6.93

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00 0.00

0.00

TOTAL RUNOFF REDUCTION IN D.A. A (ft³) 15,436

TOTAL IMPERVIOUS COVER TREATED (ac)	4.39	AREA CHECK: OK.	
TOTAL MANAGED TURF AREA TREATED (ac)	5.18	AREA CHECK: OK.	
TOTAL RUNOFF REDUCTION IN D.A. A (ft ³)	15,436		
E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E			
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	S AVAILABLE F	OR REMOVAL IN D.A. A (lb/yr)	12.12
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS REMOVED WITH RUN	OFF REDUCTIC	N PRACTICES IN D.A. A (lb/yr)	10.90
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS REMAINING AFTER APPLYING RUN	IOFF REDUCTIO	ON PRACTICES IN D.A. A (lb/yr)	1.22
		-	

SEE WATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE TAB FOR SITE COMPLIANCE CALCULATIONS

10. Wet Swale (no RR)													
10.a. Wet Swale #1 (Spec #11)	0			0	0	0	0	20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
10.b. Wet Swale #2 (Spec #11)	0			0	0	0	0	40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
11. Filtering Practices (no RR)	11. Filtering Practices (no RR)												
11.a.Filtering Practice #1 (Spec #12)	0			0	0	0	0	60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
11.b. Filtering Practice #2 (Spec #12)	0			0	0	0	0	65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
12. Constructed Wetland (no RR)													
12.a.Constructed Wetland #1 (Spec #13)	0			0	0	0	0	50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
12.b. Constructed Wetland #2 (Spec #13)	0			0	0	0	0	75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
		•							-				*
13. Wet Ponds (no RR)	1			-				-					
13.a. Wet Pond #1 (Spec #14)	0			0	0	0	0	50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
13.b. Wet Pond #1 (Coastal Plain) (Spec #14)	0			0	0	0	0	45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
13.c. Wet Pond #2 (Spec #14)	0			0	0	0	0	75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
13.d. Wet Pond #2 (Coastal Plain) (Spec #14)	0			0	0	0	0	65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

	10. Wet Swale (C	coastal Plain) (no F	RR)	
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

NITROGEN REMOVED WITH RUNOFF REDUCTION PRACTICES IN D.A. A (lb/yr) 79.70

SEE WATER QUALITY COMPLIANCE TAB FOR SITE CALCULATIONS (Information Only)

1	11. Filtering Practices (no RR)								
	30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
	45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				

12. Constructed Wetland (no RR)										
25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						
55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00						

13. Wet Ponds (no RR)											
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							

14. Manufactured BMP (no RR)									
0		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
0		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				

14. Manufactured Treatment Devices (no RR)													
14.a. Manufactured Treatment Device- Hydrodynamic	0			0	0	0	0	20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
14.b. Manufactured Treatment Device-Filtering	0			0	0	0	0	20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
14.c. Manufactured Treatment Device-Generic	0			0	0	0	0	20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

TOTAL MANAGED TURF AREA 1	REATED (ac) 4.39 AREA CHECK: OK. REATED (ac) 5.18 AREA CHECK: OK.	
	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL REQUIRED ON SITE (Ib/yr)	8.20
TOTAL	PHOSPHORUS AVAILABLE FOR REMOVAL IN D.A. A (Ib/yr)	12.12
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS REMOVED V	/ITHOUT RUNOFF REDUCTION PRACTICES IN D.A. A (lb/yr)	0.00
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS REMOV	ED WITH RUNOFF REDUCTION PRACTICES IN D.A. A (lb/yr)	10.90
TOTAL PI	IOSPHORUS LOAD REDUCTION ACHIEVED IN D.A. A (lb/yr)	10.90
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS REMAINING	AFTER APPLYING BMP LOAD REDUCTIONS IN D.A. A (Ib/yr)	1.22
SEE WATER QUALITY CO	MPLIANCE TAB FOR SITE COMPLIANCE CALCUL	ATIONS
NITROGEN REMOV	ED WITH RUNOFF REDUCTION PRACTICES IN D.A. A (Ib/yr)	79.70
NITROGEN REMOVED V	/ITHOUT RUNOFF REDUCTION PRACTICES IN D.A. A (lb/yr)	0.00
	TOTAL NITROCEN REMOVED IN D.A. A (Ib/yr)	70 70

Appendix D

Traffic Impact Study



TO: Chase Grogg

FROM: Dexter Williams

SUBJECT: Trip Generation Comparison For Blocks 4, 5, 6, 7 Of Forest Heights

DATE: September 24, 2019

Enclosed Exhibit B shows the areas involved with this trip generation analysis:

- 1. Existing Master Plan Blocks 4, 6, and 7 are outlined in red.
- 2. Existing Master Plan Block 5 is outlined in blue.
- 3. Proposed development area is outlined in green.
- 4. Remaining area of Blocks 4, 5, 6 and 7 outlined in grey.

Enclosed Exhibit A shows trip generation for Blocks 4, 5, 6 and 7 of Forest Heights as follows:

- Table 1: Proposed Development Trip Generation (green boundary). 46 multi-family low rise units, 50 units senior adult attached.
- Table 2: Remainder Blocks 4, 5, 6, and 7 Trip Generation (grey boundary). 10 residenitial units.
- Table 3: Total Blocks 4, 5, 6, 7 Trip Generation With Proposed Development. Total of Tables 1 and 2.
- Table 4: AES Blocks 4, 5, 6, and 7 Original Trip Generation. Provided by you from original Forest Heights development plan.

Proposed development peak hour traffic is is substantially less than the original trip generation for both peak hours and for daily traffic.

		LAND			WEEKD	AY TRI	P GENER.	ATION		
	-	USE	SQ.FT.,	AM PE	EAK HOU	JR	PM PI	EAK HOU	JR	
VALUE	LAND USE	CODE	OTHER UNITS	Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit	Total	DAILY
ТАРГЕ 1. П	wanagad Davalanmant Trin	Concretion								
eqadi. st.	Sr. Adult Attached	252	50 units	3	6	9	8	6	14	175
eqadj. st.	Multifamily Low Rise	220	46 units	5	18	23	19	11	30	307
1 5	5		Total	8	24	32	27	17	44	482
TABLE 2: R	Remainder Blocks 4, 5, 6, 7	Frip Generat	ion							
rate-adj. st.	Single-Family	210	10 units	2	5	7	6	4	10	94
ТАРГЕ 2. Т	Cotol Plooks 4 5 6 7 Trip (operation W	ith Proposed Developm	ont						
TABLE 5: 1	otal blocks 4, 5, 0, 7 1 rip G	relieration w	iui Proposed Developin	10	29	39	33	21	54	576
				10	_>	07	00		0.	010
TABLE 4: A	ES Blocks 4, 5, 6 and 7 Ori	ginal Trip G	eneration			10			10	
4	Salvation Army		30,000 sq. ft.			49			49	686
5	Future SF Detached		12 lots			9 11			12	115
0 7	Future Sal Va Army Ants		24 units 26 apts			11			12	145
/	Tuture Sar Va Anny Apts		20 aprs			82			89	1121
										,
Trin generati	ion rates from Trin Generation M	[anual_10th Ed	ition (TGM10) by the Institu	ite of Transpo	rtation En	gineers (17	E)			
inp generati	ion rates from <u>trip Generation w</u>	unun, rom Eu	(1 Given (1 Given by the mistile	or manopo	. aaron Ell	5				

FOREST HEIGHTS TRIP GENERATION COMPARISON
09-24-19



Exhibit A



Appendix D

Traffic Study From Original

Master Plan Submission

Community Impact Study

Rezoning of

Forest Heights Road / Neighbors Drive / Richmond Road Areas

for

James City County Department of Community Services Office of Housing and Community Development



April 1, 2011 Revised July 14, 2011

Prepared By



5248 Olde Towne Road, Suite 1 Williamsburg, VA 23188 Ph: (757) 253-0040 Fax: http://www.aesva.com

Fax: (757) 220-8994

Forest Heights Road / Neighbors Drive

James City County, Virginia Traffic Analysis for Rezoning

Traffic Analysis for Rezoning AES Project No. W10119-E-03

2	SNEEPING	by: ABS	2, 2011
A	CONSULTINGE	2011 1	ed: March 22
		2/25/2	Revis

ZIZDIZUTT Revised: March																						e entry from Rt 60
	Avg VPD	201	29	297	686	115	141	175	57		(over Ex.) VPH VPH	VPD										<- Have separal
	AM PK VPH	16	2	23	49	თ		13	5		Additional Trips 76 73	1,002										
	PM PK VPH	21	ო	31	49	12	12	16	9					AM Enter	4	~	9	30	2	2	ო	0
	nits	Lots	Lots	Lots	KSF	Lots	. Units	Apts	Lots	UPV Hqv Hqv	НИЛ	0dA	ne analysis)	AM Pk VPH	16	2	23	49	თ	<u>۲</u> ـــ	13	0
	D	21	ო	31	30	12	24	26	0	above) 110 95 1,270	i, 7, 8 above) 138 119	1,586	nts (tor turn la	PM Enter	13	2	20	14	ŝ	8	10	0
	Landuse	210	210	210	495	210	230	220	210	los. 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 Trips: Trips: s:	Vos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 Trips: Trips:	ö	s entry moveme	PM PK VPD	21	സ	31	49	12	12	16	0
AES FIOJECI NO. W IUI 18-E-US	No. Generator	1 Neighbors Dr.	2 Neighbors Cross-Thru	3 Forest Heights Rd	4 Salvation Army	5 Future SF Detached Lots	6 Future Townhomes	7 Future Salvation Army Apts	8 Ex. Richmond Rd Homes	Minimum Condition (Includes Total Peak PM Total Peak AM Total Daily Trip	Maximum Condition (includes Total Peak PM Total Peak AM	Total Daily Trip	Determine which peak hour control	No. Generator	1 Neighbors Dr.	2 Neighbors Cross-Thru	3 Forest Heights Rd	4 Salvation Army	5 Future SF Detached Lots	6 Future Townhomes	7 Future Salvation Army Apts	8 Ex. Richmond Rd Homes

Page 2 of 6

2011-03-22 Traffic Analysis-abs.xls

Sheet1

=> PM Entry Trips Control

49 VPH 41 VPH

Minimum Condition (includes Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 8 above) Total Peak PM Entry Trips: Total Peak AM Entry Trips:

Maximum Condition (includes Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 above) Total Peak PM Trips: Total Deak AM Trins: 46 VPH

=> PM Entry Trips Control

24

Forest Heights Road / Neighbors Drive

James City County, Virginia Traffic Analysis for Rezoning AES Project No. W10119-E-03



Calculate total peak turn lane motions:

Minimum Condition

20 14 49

Total Entry is assumed to be split 50/50 from East 60 and West 60

Left Turn Entering = 50% of Total from Williamsburg % RT Neighbors = Percentage of entry trips from Lightfoot that turns into Neighbors Dr, others turn at Forest Heights

Approach Traffic:

HdΛ	HdV
590	931
PHVapp = AADT x K x Dir. Factor =	USE DRW Peak Hr Counts =

Warrants.

25 VPH 931 VPH LT = Vo = Per Fig 3-3 of VDOT Road Design Manual

Does not meet warrant for left turn lane for 4-lane divided highway BUT CLOSE





2011-03-22 Traffic Analysis-abs.xls

Sheet1

Page 3 of 6

Forest Heights Road / Neighbors Drive

James City County, Virginia Traffic Analysis for Rezoning AES Project No. W10119-E-03



Forest Heights Road

120



Appropriate Radius required at all Intersections and Entrances (Commercial or Private).

LEGEND

PMV-- Peak Hour Volume (also Design Hourly Volume equivalent)

Adjustment for Right Turns

K = the percent of AADT occurring in the peak hour D = the percent of traffic in the peak direction of flow If PHV is not known use formula: PHV = ADT x K x D

Note: An average of 11% for K x D will suffice.

FIGURE 3-27 GUIDELINES FOR RIGHT TURN TREATMENT (4-LANE HIGHWAY)

HdΛ	HdΛ
80	931
	= /
R	HH

Per Fig 3-27 of VDOT Road Design Manual

Does not meet warrant for right turn lane or taper

2011-03-22 Traffic Analysis-abs.xts

Sheet1





Appropriate Radius required at all Intersections and Entrances (Commercial or Private).

LEGEND

PHV- - Peak Hour Volume (also Design Hourly Volume equivalent)

Adjustment for Right Turns

If PHV is not known use formula. PHV = ADT x K x D

K = the percent of AADT occurring in the peak hour D = the percent of traffic in the peak direction of flow

Note: An average of 11% for K x D will suffice

FIGURE 3-27 GUIDELINES FOR RIGHT TURN TREATMENT (4-LANE HIGHWAY)

RT =

18 VPH 931 VPH

= NHd

Per Fig 3-27 of VDOT Road Design Manual

Meets warrant for right taper

Page 4 of 6

Forest Heights Road / Neighbors Drive

James City County, Virginia Traffic Analysis for Rezoning AES Project No. W10119-E-03

Maximum Condition



		Left Turn	% RT	RT Enter	RT Enter
No. Generator	Total Entering	Entering	Neighbors*	Neighbors	Forest Heights
1 Neighbors Dr.	13	7	100%	2	0
2 Neighbors Cross-Thru	2	4	50%	4	-
3 Forest Heights Rd	20	10	%0	0	10
4 Salvation Army	41	7	%0	0	7
6 Future Townhomes	8	4	0%0	0	4
7 Future Salvation Army Apts	10	ۍ	%0	0	ហ
Total	67	34		ß	27

% RT Neighbors = Percentage of entry trips from Lightfoot that turns into Neighbors Dr; others turn at Forest Heights Total Entry is assumed to be split 50/50 from East 60 and West 60 Left Turn Entering = 50% of Total from Williamsburg

Approach Traffic:

PHVapp = AADT x K x Dir. Factor = 590 VPH USE DRW Peak Hr Counts = 931 VPH

Warrants

VPH
931
11
2

Per Fig 3-3 of VDOT Road Design Manual

Meets warrant for left turn lane with 50' Storage for 4-lane divided highway



Page 5 of 6

2011-03-22 Traffic Analysis-abs.xls